

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,745	10/15/2001	Anthony John Peach	GH-01383 8923	
23117	7590 12/01/2005		EXAMINER	
	ANDERHYE, PC	OOR	SINGH, SUNIL	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.6	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/889,745	PEACH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sunil Singh	3673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>16-18,20,24,32,33,37-40,45,49-53,62,67,68,76,77,81,82,87 and 88</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26,46,47 and 54</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
·					
Attachmont/s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate´.			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	' =	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/04. 6) Uther:					

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 16-18,20,24,26,32,33,37-40,45-47,49-54,62,67,68,76,77,81,82,87 and 88.

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16-18,20,24,32-33,37-40,45,49,50-53,62,67,68,81-82,87,88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubois '905 in view of German document (DE 4332113).

Dubois discloses a rock boring device comprising a boom (7) having a pivot (see Fig. 2, (9)) about the proximal end, a disc cutter (19) pivot about a wrist axis ((11), reaction mass (see Fig. 2). Dubois discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Dubois is silent about his disc cutter being structured to oscillate and nutate. German document teaches a disc cutter structured to oscillate and nutate (see disclosure). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Dubois to include an oscillating and nutating cutter as taught by German document in order to have a cutter that is applicable to different types of soil.

With regards to claims 37-39, it would have been considered obvious to cutting tips at the cutting edge in order to enhance the excavation process.

Claims 76,77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubois in view of German document as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smith et al. (US 6062650).

Art Unit: 3673

Dubois (once modified) discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, the (once modified) Dubois is silent about the controlled system called for in claims 76,77. Smith et al. teach the control system called for in claims 76,77. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the (once modified) Dubois to include the control system as taught by Smith et al. in order to form an automated system.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 26,46,47,54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16 and 50 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh
Primary Examiner Annual Language
Art Unit 3673